

SPF THERMAL PERFORMANCE

CURRENT TEST METHODS USED TO QUANTIFY THE THERMAL PERFORMANCE OF SPRAY FOAM INSULATION PRODUCTS

ASTM C518:

STANDARD TEST METHOD FOR STEADY-STATE THERMAL TRANSMISSION PROPERTIES BY MEANS OF THE HEAT FLOW METER APPARATUS:

ASTM C518 is a controlled test environment that measures the thermal performance of SPF products.

ASTM C1363:

STANDARD TEST METHOD FOR THERMAL PERFORMANCE OF BUILDING MATERIALS AND ENVELOPE ASSEMBLIES BY MEANS OF A HOT BOX APPARATUS:

ASTM C1363 measures the actual wall thermal characteristics by using a calibrated hot box (CHB), which quantifies foam performance in a representative wall assembly.

ASTM C1363: Interior side of SPF test wall



ASTM C1363: Interior side with gypsum wallboard and thermocouple grid




ASTM C518 AND ASTM C1363: WALL ASSEMBLY TESTS

Testing of the wall assemblies per ASTM C1363, as well as small-scale ASTM C518 thermal testing, was conducted at the Johns Manville Technical Center at 30-, 90-, 180- and 365-day intervals to understand the change in R-value over time of three foam products: JM Corbond III® SPF and two products from leading manufacturers. All samples for both test series were aged at ambient interior Littleton, Colorado, conditions.

SPF THERMAL PERFORMANCE


THERMAL PERFORMANCE COMPARISON OF THREE 2.0 PCF SPRAY FOAM INSULATION PRODUCTS

ASTM C518



R-value results using the standard small-scale ASTM C518 testing protocol show a small decrease in performance over a 365-day time period (above). The decrease was less than 6 percent for all three foam products with initial values for JM Corbond III® SPF, Manufacturer 1 and Manufacturer 2 of R-6.6, R-6.6 and R-6.3 per inch, respectively. All the samples had densities between 2.1 ± 0.1 pcf. For comparison, published technical datasheets show aged R-values at 1" for JM Corbond III SPF, Manufacturer 1 and Manufacturer 2 as R-6.4, R-7.4 and R-6.7, respectively.

ASTM C1363



CHB wall assemblies show similar performance for all three foam products at each time tested (above). The initial values for JM Corbond III SPF, Manufacturer 1 and Manufacturer 2 were R-13.2, R-12.8 and R-13.3, respectively. The difference in R-value of the wall assemblies compared to that expected from ASTM C518 results ($R-19.5 = R-6.5 \text{ per inch} \times 3 \text{ inches}$) is due to the thermal-bridging losses associated with the 2" x 4" wood stud constructions.

SUMMARY:

Regardless of the differences in R-values advertised by each manufacturer, both large-scale and small-scale testing of three 2.0 pcf spray polyurethane foam products (JM Corbond III SPF, Manufacturer 1 and Manufacturer 2) demonstrate similar thermal performance.

The majority of the closed-cell foam products on the market are likely to provide very similar thermal performance in actual field applications.